

# Normative values of the nociceptive blink reflex habituation

Michele Corrado,<sup>1,2</sup> Elena Mazzotta,<sup>1,2</sup> Gloria Vaghi,<sup>1,2</sup> Francescantonio Cammarota,<sup>1,2</sup> Federico Bighiani,<sup>1,2</sup> Alessandro Antoniazzi,<sup>1,2</sup> Daniele Martinelli,<sup>1,2</sup> Maria Magdalena Pocora,<sup>1,2</sup> Luca Martinis,<sup>2,3</sup> Valentina Grillo,<sup>1,2</sup> Sara Bottiroli,<sup>1,2</sup> Armando Perrotta,<sup>4</sup> Giuseppe Cosentino,<sup>1,2</sup> Grazia Sances,<sup>2</sup> Cristina Tassorelli,<sup>1,2</sup> Roberto De Icco<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Brain and Behavioural Sciences, University of Pavia; <sup>2</sup>Headache Science and Neurorehabilitation Unit, IRCCS Mondino Foundation, Pavia; <sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health, Experimental Medicine and Forensic Sciences, Sports Science Unit, University of Pavia; <sup>4</sup>IRCCS Neuromed, Pozzilli (IS), Italy

#### ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Habituation is a physiological phenomenon, characterized by response reduction to repeated stimulus presentation. In headache disorders, habituation studies have involved different paradigms with several stimulation parameters and sensory modalities, and consistently showed impaired habituation in primary headaches in the interictal phase. The nociceptive blink reflex (nBR) and its related  $R_2$  response, modulated by a polysynaptic network in the trigeminocervical complex, is one of the most studied in the field. The lack of nBR habituation normative data hampered the possibility to draw conclusions regarding the functional status of individual patients. The present study aims to define normative values for the nBR habituation process in healthy subjects without a personal diagnosis and family history of migraine, or other headache disorders.

**Methods:** We enrolled 40 healthy subjects (24 females, 32.7±11.6 years) for nBR recording and nBR habituation assessment. To assess the habituation of nBR, 26 consecutive stimuli were administered at three different and randomized stimulus frequencies (0.2, 0.3, 0.5 Hz). After excluding the first response, the remaining 25 area under the curve (AUC) were divided in 5 blocks, and the average values of the AUC was calculated for each block. The percentage reduction in the AUC of the fifth block, compared to the first, represents the habituation index (HI) value. We considered a one-tailed 10<sup>th</sup> percentile threshold as the lower threshold of normative values for nBR HI.

**Results:** The habituation phenomenon was confirmed for all study frequencies. The absolute AUC of the  $R_2$  component across the five blocks of stimulation was higher in female subjects when compared to male for 0.5 Hz (p=0.021) and 0.2 Hz (p=0.007). We found a frequency-dependent habituation pattern, being lower at the 0.2 Hz stimulation when compared to 0.5 Hz (p=0.001), and 0.3 Hz (p=0.008). The average HIs were 73.1±13.6 at 0.5 Hz, 69.2±15.0 at 0.3 Hz, and 61.1±21.4 at 0.2 Hz. HIs were comparable between male and female subjects, without correlations with age, intensity of stimulation, and latency of the  $R_2$  component. The 10th percentile of the HIs was 43.5% for 0.5 Hz, 55.8% for 0.3 Hz, and 28.6% for 0.2 Hz.

**Conclusions:** We investigated the nBR habituation in a population of healthy subjects for normative data collection. We described a frequency-dependent degree of habituation, being more pronounced at higher frequencies of stimulation. Moreover, we described gender-related features of response behaviour, which is extremely important in the migraine field. Our study further characterized the physiological habituation phenomenon in healthy controls exposed to a nociceptive stimulation. The definition of a normative habituation value will open novel possibilities in the study of migraine, as well as other headache and pain disorders.

Key words: headache, migraine, trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias, electrophysiology, sensitization.

#### Introduction

Habituation, defined as the reduction in responsiveness with repeated no longer biologically relevant stimulus presentation, is a physiological phenomenon that occurs in different sensory modalities across neuronal circuits of varying complexity (1). Habituation and sensitization represent pivotal events in several primary headaches, including migraine, cluster headache and paroxysmal hemicrania and their study provided relevant information about their physiopathology, such as the modulation of the trigeminovascular-system across the migraine cycle and role of hypothalamic dysfunction in cluster headache (2-5). In particular, migraine patients are characterized by an alteration of habituation, which appears to fluctuate during the migraine cycle. When studied outside a migraine attack (interictal phase), electrophysiological studies consistently showed a lack of habituation for different sensory modalities, to suggest an endophenotypic marker of migraine (6). Similarly, a defective habituation to both non-nociceptive and nociceptive trigeminal stimulation has been detected in cluster headache regardless of the phase of the disease activity, but consistent with the affected side (5, 7)

Habituation studies have involved different paradigms,

including – but not limited to – visual evoked potentials, somatosensory evoked potentials, P300 recording, laser evoked potentials, and the blink reflex (8-12).

The blink reflex, a non-invasive method to study transmission of the trigeminal and facial nerves in humans, involves stimulating the supraorbital nerve and recording from the orbicularis oculi muscle. It comprises three components: i) an early R<sub>1</sub> response ipsilateral to the stimulation side and mediated by A $\beta$  fibers; ii) a bilateral R<sub>2</sub> component mainly mediated by A $\delta$  fiber; and iii) a tardive, fast-adapting R<sub>3</sub> component considered part of a startle reaction (13). The R<sub>2</sub> component gained attention in the migraine field because it is primarily modulated by a polysynaptic neural network in the trigeminocervical complex (TCC).

Previous research identified the *nociceptive* blink reflex (nBR) triggered by a peculiar stimulating electrode (14). This electrode is designed to create high current planar density at low intensities, selectively activating superficial nociceptive A $\delta$  fibers in the dermis. The nBR exclusively includes the R<sub>2</sub> response and has proved valuable for investigating trigeminal nociception, modulation of the TCC and related reflex responses (15).

Notably, patients with migraine with and without aura showed a reduced habituation of the nBR (7, 15).

During acute migraine attacks, habituation normalizes, independently from acute intake of lysine acetylsalicylate or zolmitriptan (15, 16). Moreover, in migraine, no differences were observed between nBR habituation following stimulation of the headache and non-headache side (7). In episodic migraine, the severity of the habituation deficit peaks a few days before an attack (i.e. preictal phase), subsequently normalizing during the attack (ictal phase) (4). In chronic migraine, the habituation deficit is less prominent or absent, leading to the idea that these patients are locked in a never-ending migraine attack (4). A pronounced lack of habituation of the nBR is observed on the symptomatic side in cluster headache patients both during and outside the bout (5, 17). By contrast, only a few signs of deficient habituation have been observed in subgroups of tension-type headache patients (18). Most studies showing nBR habituation deficit in migraine are cross-sectional studies, and the overall findings are the results of the average behaviour of study groups (5, 7). By contrast, the inter-individual variability, and the lack of normative data of the physiological habituation of the nBR has hampered the possibility to draw conclusions regarding the functional status of individual patients. For these reasons, the nBR habituation deficit did not qualify as a migraine biomarker and its clinical utility has been criticized.

The aim of present study is to define normative values for the nBR habituation process in healthy controls without a personal diagnosis or a family history of migraine, or diagnosis of other headache disorders.

#### Results

**Study population.** We enrolled 40 healthy controls (24 females,  $32.7\pm11.6$  years, range 20-61 years). The age was comparable between male ( $33.0\pm10.2$ ) and female ( $32.6\pm12.6$ ) subjects (p=0.913).

**Baseline parameters of the nociceptive blink reflex.** Regarding reflex threshold (RTh), sensory threshold (STh) was  $1.0\pm0.5$  mA, while RTh was  $3.5\pm3.7$ . The average latency of the R<sub>2</sub> component was  $35.9\pm4.8$  msec, while the average area under the curve (AUC) was  $1.8\pm0.9$  µV × msec. None of the baseline parameters correlated with age and we did not detect differences. Latency of the R<sub>2</sub> component was shorter in female subjects (p=0.003) (**Table 1**).

Frequency-dependent habituation of the nociceptive blink reflex-area under the curve expressed as absolute values ( $\mu V \times msec$ ). The habituation phenomenon, a progressive decrease of the AUC across the five blocks of stimulation, was



confirmed for all study frequencies (factor TIME: p=0.001 for all study frequencies).

The AUC of the first block of stimulation was higher in female when compared to male subjects (0.5 Hz: p=0.006, 0.3 Hz: p=0.013, and 0.2 Hz: p=0.001) (**Supplementary Tables 1-3**). In addition, we found a frequency-dependent behaviour of the AUC of the first block of stimulation (p=0.034) as the post-hoc analysis showed a lower amplitude with 0.5 Hz when compared to 0.2 Hz frequency (p=0.036) (**Figure 1A**). For all the study frequencies, the AUC of the first block did not correlate with age (0.5 Hz: p=0.454, 0.3 Hz: p=0.950, and 0.2 Hz: p=0.561), and intensity of stimulation (0.5 Hz: p=0.300, 0.3 Hz: p=0.752, and 0.2 Hz: p=0.855). A negative correlation was found between the AUC of the first block at 0.2 Hz and latency of R<sub>2</sub> component (Spearman -0.580, p=0.001).

The absolute AUC of the  $R_2$  component across the five blocks of stimulation was different among the three study frequencies (factor Hz: p=0.001) (**Figure 1B**). The *post-hoc* analysis confirmed a frequency-dependent behaviour of AUC during nBR habituation recording, being lower with 0.5 Hz stimulation when compared to 0.3 Hz (p=0.007), and 0.2 Hz (p=0.001), and with 0.3 Hz when compared to 0.2 Hz (p=0.013). This implies a less pronounced response decrement (*i.e.* less habituation) for lower frequencies of stimulation.

The absolute AUC of the  $R_2$  component across the five blocks of stimulation was higher in female subjects when compared to male for 0.5 Hz (factor SEX: p=0.021) and 0.2 Hz (factor SEX: p=0.007) (**Figure 2A** and **C**). In addition, a significant interaction was described for the 0.3 Hz (interaction TIME × SEX: p=0.033).

**Frequency-dependent habituation of the nociceptive blink reflex with area under the curve expressed as percentage variation from the first block of stimulation (normalized to 100%).** When the modification of the AUC was expressed as percentage variation from the first block of stimulation, the habituation phenomenon was confirmed for all study frequencies across the five blocks of stimulation (factor TIME: p=0.001 for all study frequencies).

The percentage variations of AUC of the R<sub>2</sub> component across the five blocks of stimulation was different among the three study frequencies (factor Hz: p=0.001) (**Figure 1B**). The *post-hoc* analysis confirmed a frequency-dependent behaviour of AUC during nBR habituation recording, being higher at the 0.2 Hz stimulation when compared to 0.5 Hz (p=0.001), and 0.3 Hz (p=0.008); no differences were found between 0.3 Hz and 0.5 Hz frequencies (p=0.154). In addition, a significant TIME × Hz was described (p=0.032). This implies a less pronounced response decrement (*i.e.* less habituation) for lower frequencies of stimulation.

The percentage variations of AUC of the  $R_2$  component across the five blocks of stimulation did not differ between male and female subjects for all study frequencies (**Figure 1D-F**).

	Total	Male	Female	р	
N. subjects	40	16	24	-	
STh, mA	1.0±0.5	0.8±0.6	1.1±0.4	0.150	
RTh, mA	3.5±3.7	4.6±5.6	2.7±1.2	0.924	
NRS RTh	4.8±1.8	5.0±2.0	4.7±1.7	0.594	
RTh R <sub>2</sub> latency, msec	35.6±4.8	38.4±5.3	34.2±3.7	0.003	
RTh R <sub>2</sub> AUC, µV X msec	1.8±0.9	1.6±0.5	2.0±1.3	0.672	
HI 0.5, Hz (%)	73.1±13.6	70.5±14.5	74.8±13.1	0.404	
HI 0.3, Hz (%)	69.2±15.0	71.7±9.3	67.6±17.9	0.713	
HI 0.2. Hz (%)	61.1±21.5	62.7±20.7	60.0±22.3	0.754	

Table 1. Neurophysiological parameters of the nociceptive blink reflex.

STh, sensory threshold; RTh, reflex threshold; NRS, numeric rating scale; AUC, area under the curve; HI, habituation index.





 $\Delta$ : p<0.050 among the three stimulation frequencies in the frequency (p=0.036).

**Figure 1.** Habituation of the nociceptive blink reflex R<sub>2</sub> area. A) Absolute change in area under the curve when compared to first block of stimulation (normalized to 100%). B) Percentage change in area under the curve when compared to first block of stimulation (normalized to 100%).



**Figure 2.** Gender differences in the habituation of the nociceptive blink reflex  $R_2$  area. A-C) Absolute change in area under the curve. D-F) Percentage change in area under the curve when compared to first block of stimulation (normalized to 100%).

pagepress

Habituation indexes and proposed normative values. The average habituation indexes (HIs) were 73.1±13.6 (range 35.4-91.1) at 0.5 Hz, 69.2±15.0 (range 31.2-90.6) at 0.3 Hz, and 61.1±21.4 (range 6.9-89.7) at 0.2 Hz. At all study frequencies, the HIs were comparable between male and female subjects (**Table 1**), and no correlations with age, intensity of stimulation, and latency of the R<sub>2</sub> component were found. At 0.5 Hz, the HI positively correlated with the AUC of the first block of stimulation (Spearman's  $\rho$  0.409, p=0.009); by contrast, this correlation was not found at the lower stimulation frequencies of 0.3 Hz (Spearman's  $\rho$  0.020, p=0.903), and 0.2 Hz (Spearman's  $\rho$  0.099, p=0.543).

The percentile distribution of the HIs for each stimulation frequencies are illustrated in **Table 2**. The 10<sup>th</sup> percentile of the HIs, namely the thresholds of the proposed normative values, was 43.5% for 0.5 Hz, 55.8% for 0.3 Hz, and 28.6% for 0.2 Hz.

## Discussion

In the present study, we investigated the habituation of the nBR in a population of healthy subjects in order to provide normative values for this physiological phenomenon.

The main results of our study may be summarized as follows. The habituation phenomenon was recorded and confirmed across 26 consecutive nBR recordings. We described a frequency-dependent degree of habituation, being more pronounced when higher frequencies of stimulation were applied. Indeed, the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile of the habituation indexes differed according to the stimulation frequency, with a normative threshold set at 43.5% for 0.5 Hz, 55.8% for 0.3 Hz, and 28.6% for 0.2 Hz.

Based on our findings, we propose that values of HIs below the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile for each stimulus frequency identify a subset of subjects with a habituation deficit behaviour. We could also speculate that HI values above the 90th percentile may identify a subset of subjects with an extremely pronounced habituation phenomenon, but this probably represents an increased physiological response more than a pathological sensory processing. Compared to men, female subjects showed a larger amplitude of the R<sub>2</sub> component of the nBR and a more pronounced habituation phenomenon at intermediated stimulation frequencies. It is worth noting that this gender differences normalized when habituation was assessed as percentage variation of the second to the fifth blocks from the AUC of the first block. The gender-related habituation trend observed in our study is extremely important in the migraine field. Indeed, considering the higher prevalence of migraine in the female population, we underlie the importance to balance clinical and demographic features in future studies.

The nBR and related habituation evaluation represent a valid tool to explore the functional modulation of the trigemino-vascular system in migraine. The nBR is closely linked to the pain-related trigeminal processing, indeed the afferent trigeminal arch is stimulated with a nociceptive-specific electrode in the V1 area, and a set of descending fibres project to a bilateral polysynaptic network in the TCC before reaching the efferent branch to the pontine nucleus of the facial nerve (14, 19). Thus, the nBR evaluation may provide insights into two key pathways for headache disorders, namely the trigemino-cervical complex and, indirectly, the thalamo-cortical relay.

The pathophysiological substrate of the interictal migraine habituation deficit is not fully understood. A first hypothesis takes into account a reduced activity in brainstem monaminergic pathways, resulting in a low level of cortical pre-activation (20, 21). This may also explain why several neurophysiological responses were reduced in amplitude in migraine patients after the very first stimulations (22, 23). In addition, a disrupted intracortical short-range lateral inhibition as well as functional disconnection between the thalamus and the cortex (i.e. thalamocortical dysrhythmia) may account for the observed habituation deficit (23, 24). These mechanisms are not mutually exclusive and may coexist, with a different degree of involvement across the migraine spectrum. Indeed, a recent elegant study demonstrated how chronic migraine with medication overuse headache patients features a combination of increased thalamocortical drive and aberrant cortical inhibitory mechanisms (25). In addition, another intriguing pathophysiological hypothesis explaining the defective habituation in primary headaches rooted on the link between the dysfunction of the hypothalamic axis in mice and the lack of habituation of the startle responses (26). It has been suggested that this dysfunction produces a chronic stress-like condition leading to abnormal processing of relevant environmental stimuli (26).

nBR habituation was consistently found impaired in migraine and other headache disorders (4). However, different paradigms were adopted to assess nBR habituation, thus limiting the generalization and the possibility to directly compare results obtained by different researchers (Table 3) (6, 7, 27-34). Nonetheless, our findings are largely consistent with a broader set of habituation features, as revised by Rankin et al. (35). Indeed, some of the main habituation characteristics described, such as the progressive decrease in response parameters, the spontaneous recovery after stimulus withdrawal, and the more pronounced habituation to higher stimulus frequencies, are in line with our results. Rankin et al. described other features of the habituation phenomenon, for example the potentiation of habituation induced by a carry-over effect achieved by means of repeated series of habituation training and recovery. The evaluation of these habituation components required longer and ad-hoc stimulation protocols. In our study, we voluntary avoided the study of the potentiation of habituation by allowing a prolonged rest between the sessions and randomizing the frequencies of stimulation. Moreover, we avoided an excessively supra-threshold stimulus. These choices were made to not over- or under-estimate our normative parameters.

Parameters with possible effects on the resulting habituation are the number of stimulations per block, the overall number of stimulations, the frequency of stimulation, the interval between blocks of stimulation and stimulation intensity. The present

Table 2. Habituation indexes of the nociceptive blink reflex at different stimulation frequencies.

Percentile	0.5 Hz, %	0.3 Hz, %	0.2 Hz, %	
5 <sup>th</sup>	35.3	52.0	24.8	
10 <sup>th</sup>	43.5	55.8	28.6	
25 <sup>th</sup>	63.4	65.3	41.2	
50 <sup>th</sup>	74.2	73.4	70.8	
75 <sup>th</sup>	82.7	77.8	80.0	
90th	85.0	82.7	85.0	
95 <sup>th</sup>	91.1	90.6	89.5	

The 10th percentile represents the normative value for the habituation index. Values below the 10th percentile are indicative of a habituation deficit behaviour.



recording protocol is consistent with previous experiences by our group. We decided to narrow the range of frequencies, excluding those above 0.5 Hz and below 0.2 Hz. This is because no differences between migraine patients and controls were found within 0.05-0.1 Hz stimulation frequencies, and we aimed to reduce the duration of the nBR recording session to increase tolerability, feasibility and future applications. Several limitations should be acknowledged for our study. First of all, the sample size is limited, and involves a quite young population. Thus, our results cannot be generalized to the overall population, but they should be applied to a comparable sample. It is worth noting that the study of habituation does not have pure clinical implication, and it is restricted to research purposes. In addition, most of the studies on primary headaches involve subjects with demographic features comparable to our study population. As previously described, we adopted a specific nBR habituation protocol, and we cannot generalize the proposed His if the study is performed with clearly different parameters. A strength of our study is the adoption of a set of precise and multiple stimulation frequencies.

#### Conclusions

Our study further characterized the physiological habituation phenomenon in healthy controls exposed to a nociceptive stimulation. The definition of a normative habituation value will open novel possibilities in the application of nBR habituation in the study of migraine, as well as other headache and pain disorders. This can allow to determine the functional neurophysiological status of a single patient, to facilitate future tailored and individualized approaches. Additionally, we hope that further normative values will be published, exploring habituation of other sensory modalities and reflex responses.

# **Materials and Methods**

We enrolled healthy subjects aged 18-60 years. The inclusion criteria were: i) subjects not affected by primary or secondary headache disorders according to the International Classification of Headache Disorders-3<sup>rd</sup> edition with the exception for 2.1 *Infrequent episodic tension-type headache*; ii) negative first relatives family history of primary headaches. Exclusion criteria were: i) diagnosis of any neurological, psychiatric, or chronic pain conditions; ii) use of chronic medications interfering with central or peripheral nervous system function; iii) diagnosis of concomitant medical conditions likely to influence study results according to the investigator; iv) intake of analgesic or anti-migraine drugs in the 24 hours before the nBR recording. All subjects who fulfilled inclusion/exclusion criteria signed a written informed consent and underwent a single evaluation of the nBR and nBR habituation, according to a previously published method (16).

Table 3. Summary of the findings of pivotal papers on nociceptive blink reflex habituation in migraine.

First author	Study population	Habituation paradigm	Main findings
De Marinis <i>et al.</i> (27)	30 migraine without aura 30 HS	Stimulation intensity: 7 times detection threshold intensity Frequency: every 20, 10, 5, 4, 3, 2 and 1 seconds Number of stimuli: 10 responses for each time frequency Number of blocks: 7 Habituation definition: change in R <sub>2</sub> areas during the habituation test	nBR habituation was reduced in migraine patients who had a migraine attack in the following 72 hours after the electrophysiological recording
Katsarava <i>et al.</i> (15)	17 migraine patients in three conditions: i) interictal phase; ii) during spontaneous headache within 6 hours of onset; iii) after acute treatment 15 HS	Stimulation Intensity: 1.5 times the pain threshold Frequency: pseudorandomized inter-stimulus interval 15 to 17 seconds Number of stimuli: 6 per block Number of blocks: 2 Habituation definition: regression coefficient for each block	Lack of nBR habituation in migraine when compared to HS Normalization of habituation during the acute migraine attack or after acute drug treatment
Di Clemente et al. (28)	15 migraine without aura (interictal phase) 15 HS	Stimulation intensity: 1.5 times the pain threshold Frequency: pseudorandomized inter-stimulus interval of 15 to 17 seconds Number of stimuli: 6 stimuli per block (first excluded for startle) Number of blocks: 10 (2 minutes inter-block interval) Habituation definition: percentage change of the $R_2$ area	nBR habituation deficit in migraine when compared to HSnBR habituation positively correlated with attack frequency Positive correlation between habituation of pattern reversal visual evoked potentials and nBR
Di Clemente <i>et al.</i> (6)	16 migraine without aura (interictal phase) 15 HS without family history of migraine 14 HS with at least one first degree relative suffering from migraine (HV-F)	Stimulation intensity: 1.5 times the pain threshold Frequency: pseudorandomized inter-stimulus interval of 15 to 17 seconds Number of stimuli: 6 stimuli per block (first excluded for startle) Number of blocks: 10 (inter-block interval of 2 minutes) Habituation definition: percentage change of the R <sub>2</sub> area	nBR habituation deficit in migraine and HV-F when compared to HVnBR habituation positively correlated with attack frequency



#### Table 3. Continued from previous page.

First author	Study population	Habituation paradigm	Main findings
Perrotta <i>et al.</i> (20)	22 migraine without aura (interictal phase) 27 CH patients during the active phase 20 HS	Stimulus intensity: 1.3 times the reflex threshold Frequency: randomized frequencies of 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7 and 1 Hz Number of stimuli: 16 responses (first excluded for startle) Number of blocks: 3 Habituation definition: percentage of the R <sub>2</sub> area	nBR habituation deficit in migraine without aura and cluster headache when compared to HSThe nBR habituation deficit was more pronounced in CH when compared to migraine at specific stimulation frequencies
Hansen <i>et al.</i> (29)	5 FHM-1 (R583Q or C1369Y mutations) 4 FHM-2 (R <sub>2</sub> 02Q or R763C mutations) -7 HS	Stimulation intensity: 1.5 times the pain threshold Frequency: pseudorandomized inter-stimulus interval of 15-17 s Number of stimuli: 6 responses per block (first excluded for startle) Number of blocks: 5 (inter-block interval of 2 minutes) Habituation definition: percentage change R <sub>2</sub> area	FHM had a more pronounced nBR habituation when compared to HS
de Tommaso <i>et al.</i> (30)	) 33 migraine without aura patients randomly assigned to 3 months of treatment with: i) nBR biofeedback; ii) nBR biofeedback plus topiramate 50 mg (b.i.d.); iii) topiramate 50 mg (b.i.d.)8 HS	Stimulus intensity: 1.5 times the pain threshold Frequency: pseudorandomized inter-stimulus interval of 15 to 17 seconds Number of stimuli: 6 responses per block Number of blocks: 10 (inter-blocks interva of 2 minutes) Habituation definition: percentage change R <sub>2</sub> area	nBR biofeedback reduced the R <sub>2</sub> area, without improving R <sub>2</sub> habituation
Perrotta <i>et al.</i> (7)	29 migraine without area patients (interictal phase) 17 migraine with aura (interictal phase) 30 HS	Stimulation intensity: 1.5 times the reflex threshold Frequency: randomized frequencies of 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, and 1 Hz Number of stimuli: 6 per block (first excluded for startle) Number of blocks: 5 Habituation definition: percentage change R <sub>2</sub> area	nBR habituation deficit in migraine with and without aura when compared to HS e

To be continued on next page

**Nociceptive blink reflex registration procedure.** The nBR was elicited using a planar concentric electrode (Bionen, Florence, Italy) placed 10 mm above the emergence of the supraorbital nerve. For each subject, the right side was used for stimulation and recording. Every study session was conducted between 9.00 and 11.00 in the morning. Participants were asked to stay caffeine-free in the 12 hours before the session. Females were investigated during the follicular phase to avoid fluctuation related with the menstrual cycle.

The stimulation (single monopolar stimulation, duration 0.3 ms) was delivered by a constant current stimulator (electric stimulator DS7A, Digitimer, Hertfordshire, UK).

The surface electromyographic recording was carried out at the level of the orbicularis oculi muscle through a pair of surface electrodes, with the reference electrode on the side of the eye, and the recording electrode on the midline of the lower eyelid. The ground electrode was placed on the subject's forehead. The recording parameters were: filter bandpass between 3 Hz and 3 kHz, sampling rate of 2.5 kHz, analysis time was 200 ms, and sensitivity of 100 mV. All signals were amplified and full-wave rectified (CED Powerlab interface 1401, Cambridge Electronic Design, UK; electronic amplifier BM623, Biomedica Mangoni, Pisa, Italy). During the recording, the subjects were comfortably seated in an armchair in a quiet room, relaxing with their eyes open. First, a single nBR was recorded. A progressive staircase increase in the stimulation intensity (0.2 mA at time, with a 3-minute pause in between) was used to evaluate the RTh, defined as a stable R<sub>2</sub> response in at least 3 consecutive stimulations (amplitude exceeding 50  $\mu$ V for at least 20 msec). At RTh, subjects were asked to indicate the perceived painful stimulus on a numeric rating scale (NRS) from 0 (no perception) to 10 (worst possible pain). The R<sub>2</sub> latency (msec) and amplitude ( $\mu$ V × msec) were recorded. The stimulus intensity (mA) at which the subjects first perceived a non-painful sensory feeling was recorded (STh).

**Paradigm to study the habituation phenomenon.** To assess the habituation of nBR, 26 consecutive stimuli were administered at three different and randomized stimulus frequencies (0.2, 0.3, 0.5 Hz). The stimulation intensity of the habituation study was equal to 1.5 times the RTh. Of these stimulations, the first sweep was removed from the analysis to eliminate the startle response. The remaining 25 electromyographic sweeps were used to assess the habituation phenomenon. In offline analysis, for each electromyographic sweep the AUC of the R<sub>2</sub> compo-





#### Table 3. Continued from previous page.

First author	Study population	Habituation paradigm	Main findings
Di Lorenzo <i>et al</i> . (31)	18 migraine without aura patients before and after 1 month of ketogenic diet	Stimulation intensity: 1.2 times the pain threshold Frequency: pseudorandomized inter-stimulus interval between 30 and 35 seconds Number of stimuli: 6 per block (first excluded for startle) Number of blocks: 2 (inter-blocks interval of 2 minutes) Habituation definition: slope of the linear regression of R <sub>2</sub> area	nBR habituation deficit did not change after 1 month of ketogenic diet
Thiele et al. (32)	22 episodic migraine patients at baseline (T0) and three months (T3) after treatment with anti-CGRP mAbs22 HS	Stimulation intensity: 1.5 times the pain threshold Frequency: inter-stimuli interval of 15 to 17 seconds Number of stimuli: 6 per block Number of blocks: 10 (inter-blocks interva of 2 min) Habituation definition: slope of the linear regression of $R_2$ area	nBR habituation of the non-stimulated side was enhanced from T0 to T3 nBR habituation of the stimulated side was not modified from T0 to T3 al
Casillo <i>et al.</i> (33)	20 migraine patients (15 chronic migraine and 5 episodic migraine) at baseline (T0), and 28 days (T1), and 56 days (T2) after erenumab 70 mg	Stimulation intensity: 1.2 times the pain threshold Frequency: pseudorandomized inter-stimulus interval of 30 to 35 seconds Number of stimuli: 6 per block (first excluded for startle) Number of blocks: 2 (inter-block interval of 2 minutes) Habituation definition: slope of the linear regression of R <sub>2</sub> area	nBR habituation did not change after erenumab treatment nBR AUC was lower at T1 and T2 when compared to baseline
Sebastianelli <i>et al.</i> (34)	15 chronic migraine patients at baseline (T0), and after 1 and 3 months after BoNT-A treatment	Stimulation intensity: 1.5 times the pain threshold Frequency: inter-stimuli interval of 40 seconds Number of stimuli: 6 responses per block (first excluded for startle) Number of blocks: 3 (inter-block interval of 2 minutes) Habituation definition: slope of the linear regression of R <sub>2</sub> area	nBR habituation enhancement at 3 months after a single session of BoNT-A treatment

HS, healthy subjects; nBR, nociceptive blink reflex; CH, cluster headache; FHM, familial hemiplegic migraine; AUC, area under the curve.

nent was measured and expressed in µV × msec. For each electromyographic sweep, the onset and end of the nBR R<sub>2</sub> component were manually identified: i) the onset of the R<sub>2</sub> component was visually determined and confirmed if the offset exceeded the isoelectric line of 50 µV for at least 20 msec, and ii) the end of the R<sub>2</sub> component was visually determined and confirmed if the electromyographic signal returned to the isoelectric line for at least 200 msec. The area of the nBR R<sub>2</sub> component was calculated within this manually determined time window using the AUC function of the Signal software, Version 5.08, for Windows (Cambridge Electronic Design, UK). The obtained 25 AUC were divided in 5 blocks, and the average values of the AUC was calculated for each block. The habituation phenomenon was assessed with absolute AUCs values of the 5 blocks ( $\mu$ V × msec) as well as with AUC expressed as percentage variation from the first block of stimulation (normalized to 100%).

The percentage reduction in the AUC of the fifth block, compared to the first, represents the HI value. Thus, high His indicate a pronounced habituation, while low HI are indicative of a weak habituation phenomenon. Between the three different stimulus frequencies, participants waited at least 20 minutes to avoid a carry-over effect. Statistical analysis. Statistical analysis was conducted with the SPSS software, ver. 21 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and with "R: A language and environment for statistical computing" (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria), Version 1.2.5033, for Windows. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test proved a non-normal distribution of a subset of data (for example absolute AUC in different blocks across consecutive stimulation), thus non-parametric tests were used; of note, the distribution of the His for all the study frequencies were normally distributed. Categorical data are reported as absolute numbers and percentages, while continuous variables as mean ± standard deviation. For continuous variables, differences between groups were analysed using the Mann-Whitney U test, while for categorical variables, statistical analysis was performed with the chi-square test. Differences among the three study frequencies in the AUC of the first block of stimulation were assessed with the Friedman test. Correlation analysis was performed with Spearman's correlation test. To evaluate the modification of AUC (absolute values and percentage modification) across consecutive stimulations, we used two non-parametric models for repeated measures (36). The first model compared the habituation among different stimulation frequencies and included the following factors: factor TIME



(within subjects, 5 levels: first to fifth blocks), and factor Hz (within subjects, 3 levels: 0.5 Hz vs. 0.3 Hz vs. 0.2 Hz). The second model compared the habituation between male and female subjects and included the following factors: factor TIME (within subjects, 5 levels: first to fifth blocks), and factor SEX (between subjects, 2 levels: male vs. female).

As we aimed to assess a limit for the habituation deficit, we considered a one-tailed  $10^{th}$  percentile threshold as the lower threshold of normative values.

The level of significance was set at  $\alpha$ <0.05, corrected for multiple comparison with Bonferroni when necessary.

## References

- 1. Harris JD. Habituatory response decrement in the intact organism. Psychol Bull 1943;40:385-422.
- De Icco R, Greco R, Demartini C, Vergobbi P, Zanaboni A, Tumelero E, et al. Spinal nociceptive sensitization and plasma palmitoylethanolamide levels during experimentally induced migraine attacks. Pain 2021;162:2376-85.
- De Icco R, Perrotta A, Grillo V, Cosentino G, Sances G, Sandrini G, Tassorelli C. Experimentally induced spinal nociceptive sensitization increases with migraine frequency: a single-blind controlled study. Pain 2020;161:429-38.
- Coppola G, Di Lorenzo C, Schoenen J, Pierelli F. Habituation and sensitization in primary headaches. J Headache Pain 2013; 14:65.
- Coppola G, Di Lorenzo C, Bracaglia M, Di Lenola D, Parisi V, Perrotta A, et al. Lateralized nociceptive blink reflex habituation deficit in episodic cluster headache: correlations with clinical features. Cephalalgia 2015;35:600-7.
- Di Clemente L, Coppola G, Magis D, Fumal A, De Pasqua V, Di Piero V, Schoenen J. Interictal habituation deficit of the nociceptive blink reflex: an endophenotypic marker for presymptomatic migraine? Brain 2007;130:765-70.
- Perrotta A, Anastasio MG, De Icco R, Coppola G, Ambrosini A, Serrao M, et al. Frequency-dependent habituation deficit of the nociceptive blink reflex in aura with migraine headache. can migraine aura modulate trigeminal excitability? Headache 2017:887-98.
- De Marinis M, Pujia A, Natale L, D'Arcangelo E, Accornero N. Decreased habituation of the R<sub>2</sub> component of the blink reflex in migraine patients. Clin Neurophysiol 2003;114:889-93.
- Schoenen J, Wang W, Albert A, Delwaide PJ. Potentiation instead of habituation characterizes visual evoked potentials in migraine patients between attacks. Eur J Neurol 1995;2: 115-22.
- Valeriani M, De Tommaso M, Restuccia D, Le Pera D, Guido M, Iannetti DG, et al. Reduced habituation to experimental pain in migraine patients: a CO2 laser evoked potential study. Pain 2003;105:57-64.
- 11. De Mirci S, Savas S. The auditory event related potentials in episodic and chronic pain sufferers. Eur J Pain 2002;6:239-44.
- Lorenzo CD, Coppola G, Currà A, Grieco G, Santorelli FM, Lepre C, et al. Cortical response to somatosensory stimulation in medication overuse headache patients is influenced by angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) I/D genetic polymorphism. Cephalalgia 2012;32:1189-97.
- 13. Ellrich J, Katsarava Z, Przywara S, Kaube H. Is the  $R_3$  component of the human blink reflex nociceptive in origin? Pain 2001;91:389-95.
- Kaube H, Katsarava Z, Käufer T, Diener HC, Ellrich J. A new method to increase nociception specificity of the human blink reflex. Clin Neurophysiol 2000;111:413-6.

- Katsarava Z, Giffin N, Diener HC, Kaube H. Abnormal habituation of 'nociceptive' blink reflex in migraine–evidence for increased excitability of trigeminal nociception. Cephalalgia 2003;23:814-9.
- Katsarava Z, Limmroth V, Baykal O, Akguen D, Diener HC, Kaube H. Differences of anti-nociceptive mechanisms of migraine drugs on the trigeminal pain processing during and outside acute migraine attacks. Cephalalgia 2004;24:657-62.
- Perrotta A, Serrao M, Sandrini G, Bogdanova D, Tassorelli C, Bartolo M, et al. Reduced habituation of trigeminal reflexes in patients with episodic cluster headache during cluster period. Cephalalgia 2008;28:950-9.
- Ozkul Y, Ay H. Habituation of sympathetic skin response in migraine and tension type headache. Auton Neurosci 2007; 134:81-4.
- Ellrich J. Brain stem reflexes: probing human trigeminal nociception. Physiology 2000;15:94-7.
- Hegerl U, Juckel G. Intensity dependence of auditory evoked potentials as an indicator of central serotonergic neurotransmission: a new hypothesis. Biol Psychiatry 1993;33:173-87.
- 21. Coppola G, Pierelli F, Schoenen J. Habituation and migraine. Neurobiol Learn Mem 2009;92:249-59.
- 22. Áfra J, Proietti Cecchini A, Sándor PS, Schoenen J. Comparison of visual and auditory evoked cortical potentials in migraine patients between attacks. Clin Neurophysiol 2000; 111:1124-9.
- 23. Coppola G, Ambrosini A, Di Clemente L, Magis D, Fumal A, Gérard P, et al. Interictal abnormalities of gamma band activity in visual evoked responses in migraine: an indication of thalamocortical dysrhythmia? Cephalalgia 2007;27:1360-7.
- 24. de Tommaso M, Ambrosini A, Brighina F, Coppola G, Perrotta A, Pierelli F, et al. Altered processing of sensory stimuli in patients with migraine. Nat Rev Neurol 2014;10:144-55.
- 25. Sebastianelli G, Casillo F, Abagnale C, Renzo AD, Cioffi E, Parisi V, et al. Central sensitization mechanisms in chronic migraine with medication overuse headache: a study of thalamocortical activation and lateral cortical inhibition. Cephalalgia 2023;43:3331024231202240.
- Dirks A, Groenink L, Schipholt MI, van der Gugten J, Hijzen TH, Geyer MA, Olivier B. Reduced startle reactivity and plasticity in transgenic mice overexpressing corticotropin-releasing hormone. Biol Psychiatry 2002;51:583-90.
- 27. De Marinis M, Pujia A, Natale L, D'arcangelo E, Accornero N. Decreased habituation of the  $R_2$  component of the blink reflex in migraine patients. Clin Neurophysiol 2003;114:889-93.
- Di Clemente L, Coppola G, Magis D, Fumal A, De Pasqua V, Schoenen J. Nociceptive blink reflex and visual evoked potential habituations are correlated in migraine. Headache 2005;45: 1388-93.
- 29. Hansen JM, Bolla M, Magis D, de Pasqua V, Ashina M, Thomsen LL, et al. Habituation of evoked responses is greater in patients with familial hemiplegic migraine than in controls: a contrast with the common forms of migraine. Eur J Neurol 2011;18: 478-85.
- 30. de Tommaso M, Delussi M. Nociceptive blink reflex habituation biofeedback in migraine. Funct Neurol 2017;32:123-30.
- Di Lorenzo C, Coppola G, Bracaglia M, Di Lenola D, Sirianni G, Rossi P, et al. A ketogenic diet normalizes interictal cortical but not subcortical responsivity in migraineurs. BMC Neurology 2019:1-9.
- 32. Thiele A, Klehr L, Strauss S, Angermaier A, Schminke U, Kronenbuerger M, et al. Preventive treatment with CGRP monoclonal antibodies restores brain stem habituation deficits and excitability to painful stimuli in migraine: results from a prospective case-control study. J Headache Pain 2021;2 2:149.

Online supplementary material:

**Supplementary Table 1**. Absolute values of the area under the curve of the  $R_2$  component of the nociceptive blink reflex at 0.5 Hz. **Supplementary Table 2**. Absolute values of the area under the curve of the  $R_2$  component of the nociceptive blink reflex at 0.3 Hz. **Supplementary Table 3**. Absolute values of the area under the curve of the  $R_2$  component of the nociceptive blink reflex at 0.2 Hz.



- 33. Casillo F, Sebastianelli G, Di Renzo A, Cioffi E, Parisi V, Di Lorenzo C, et al. The monoclonal CGRP-receptor blocking antibody erenumab has different effects on brainstem and cortical sensory-evoked responses. Cephalalgia 2022;42: 1236-45.
- 34. Sebastianelli G, Casillo F, Di Renzo A, Abagnale C, Cioffi E, Parisi V, et al. Effects of Botulinum toxin type A on the nociceptive and lemniscal somatosensory systems in chronic migraine: an electrophysiological study. Toxins (Basel) 2023;15:76.

- 35. Rankin CH, Abrams T, Barry RJ, Bhatnagar S, Clayton DF, Colombo J, et al. Habituation revisited: An updated and revised description of the behavioral characteristics of habituation. Neurobiol Learn Memory 2009;92:135-8.
- Noguchi K, Gel YR, Brunner E, Konietschke F. nparLD: AnRSoftware package for the nonparametric analysis of longitudinal data in factorial experiments. J Stat Software 2012;50.

Correspondence: Roberto De Icco, Headache Science and Neurorehabilitation Unit, IRCCS Mondino Foundation, via Mondino 2, 27100, Pavia, Italy. E-mail: roberto.deicco@unipv.it

Contributions: Michele Corrado and Elena Mazzotta contributed equally.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

Funding: this study was supported by a Research Grant from the Italian Ministry of Health to IRCCS Mondino Foundation (Ricerca Corrente 2022–2024). Ethics approval: the study protocol was approved by the local Ethics Committee of Pavia during the 09/05/2018 session (P-20180025265). Availability of data and material: raw data are available upon reasonable request at: www.zenodo.org (10.5281/zenodo.10605959).

Received: 14 February 2024. Accepted: 2 April 2024.

Publisher's note: all claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Confinia Cephalalgica 2024; 1:15730. doi:10.4081/cc.2024.15730 ©Copyright: the Author(s), 2024. Licensee PAGEPress, Italy This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).